



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,168	03/23/2006	Alf Zips	2003P13650WOUS	6647

22116 7590 04/14/2009
SIEMENS CORPORATION
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH
ISELIN, NJ 08830

EXAMINER

STEVENS, THOMAS H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2121

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/14/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 20,22-29 were examined.
2. Claims 1-19,21 were cancelled.

Section I: Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 20,22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims are rejected based on the lack of detail of wirelessly transmitting additional power.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 20,22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

7. Claim 20 recites the limitation "the power" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
8. Claim 22 recites the limitation "the power" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
9. Claim 23 recites the limitation "the power" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
10. Claim 24 recites the limitation "the power" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
11. Claim 26 recites the limitation "the power" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
12. Claim 27 recites the limitation "the power" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
13. Claim 28 recites the limitation "the power" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
14. Claim 29 recites the limitation "the power" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Section II: Response to Arguments

Objections

15. Withdrawn.

16. Applicants are thanked for addressing this issue; however, the response is not entirely clear such as what "normal operating power" is, as well as the "additional power" and how is the additional power is transmitted wirelessly.

112 2nd

17. The antecedent issues stated in the previous office action are withdrawn; however, new issues arise as set forth above.

Conclusion

18. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2121

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Tom Stevens whose telephone number is 571-272-3715.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact examiner's supervisor Mr. Albert Decady (571-272-3819). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Answers to questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) (toll-free (866-217-9197)).

/Crystal J. Barnes-Bullock/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2121

/Thomas H. Stevens/

Examiner, Art Unit 2121